

POLYESTER RESIN PLASTIC PRODUCTS FABRICATION



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE:	ANNUAL (INS1, INS2)	COMPLAINT/DISCO ARMS COMPLAINT			
AIRS ID#: 1030379 DA	TE: <u>6/15/10</u>	ARRIVE: <u>10:10</u>	DEPART: <u>10:20</u>		
FACILITY NAME: CORINTHIAN CATAMARANS, LLC					
FACILITY LOCATION	N: 1065 Island Ave				
	TARPON SPRINGS, F	L 34689			
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: ROBERT MUHLHAN PHONE: (813)334-1029					
CONTACT NAME:		PH	ONE:		
ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 9/24/2007 / 9/24/2012 (effective date) (end date)					
PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check only one box) □ IN COMPLIANCE □ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE □ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE					
 (check ☑ appropria 1. Does the facility of and emissions uni 62-210.300(3)(a) (Rule 62-210.300 2. Does the facility of not cause, suffer, odor?	operate any emissions units other its which are exempt from permit or (b), F.A.C., or have been exe O(3)(c)5.a., F.A.C.)	er than the polyester resin pl itting pursuant to the criteri- mpted from permitting und dor prohibition of subsection Fair pollutants which cause gresin and gel-coat used exe or 62-210.300(3)(c)5.c., F.A records to document the qua (c)5.d., F.A.C.)	lastic products fabrication units a of paragraph er Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.? Yes No on 62-296.320(2), F.A.C. and or contribute to an objectionable Yes No ceed 76,000 pounds (38 tons) 		

PART III: <u>CONTROL/OPERATING/MAINTENANCE REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-210.300, F.A.C.

(check \blacksquare appropriate box(es))

1.	Does the owner or operator voluntarily encourage pollution prevention through such measures as training employees involved in product fabrication on methods of reducing evaporative losses by: a) lessening the exposure of fresh resin surfaces to the air? b) maintaining spray lay-up equipment to ensure effective application with a minimum of overspray? c) monitoring the coating thickness to avoid excessive resin/get coat application? d) implementing inventory control practices to prevent spillage? e) managing cleanup solvents? Yes No
2. 3.	Does the owner or operator make every reasonable effort to conduct the specific activity authorized by the general permit in a manner that minimizes adverse effects on adjacent property or on public use of the adjacent property, where applicable, and on the environment, including fish, wildlife, natural resources, water quality, or air quality?

PART IV: <u>SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURES</u> – Rule 62-210.300(4)(d)4., F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es))	
A. <u>New or Modified Process Equipment</u>	
 Since the last inspection has there been a) installation of any new process equipment? b) alterations to existing process equipment without replacement? c) replacement of existing equipment substantially different than that noted on the most 	
 recent notification form?	

Jeff Morris

Inspector's Name (Please Print)

6/15/10

Date of Inspection

10/15/10

Inspector's Signature

Approximate Date of Next Inspection

COMMENTS: 6/15/10 - AQD discovered the facility's gate was locked. No activity was seen during the on-site inspection. A call to owner revealed that the company temporarily closed due to no work or future contracts. The owner, Robert Muhlhan, stated that he will call this office when the facility re-opens[jm]